

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		т	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/581,050	12/27/95	UEDA		•	
FELIX J D'AMBROSIO PO BOX 2266 EADS STATIO ARLINGTON VA 22202		PM82/1214 ¬		DEPUMPO, BYAMINER	
		ON		36ART UNIT	71
				DATE MAILE	12/14 <i>(181</i> 0) <b>D</b> :

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/581,050

Applicant(s)

Ueda

Examiner

Daniel G. DePumpo

Group Art Unit 3611



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 28, 2000	
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expose solutions in the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of CFR 1.136(a).	spond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 1, 3-11, 13, 14, and 16-29	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Ⅺ Claim(s) 2	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	view, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	_ is _approved _disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inter	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	dor 25 U.S.C. § 119(a)
	uei 33 0.3.C. 3 113(e).
Attachment(s)	
<ul> <li>☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).</li> </ul>	
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Interview Summary, FTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	

Application/Control Number: 08/581,050

Art Unit: 3611

- 1. Claims 1, 33-11, 13, 14 and 16-29 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueda et al. '030 in view of Ogino et al.

See the rejection of paper number 17. In Ueda, it is noted that since the narrow fibers are laminated with the graphite, the graphite surrounds the fibers. Also, fig. 8 clearly shows that the graphite surrounds the fibers.

4. Claim 2 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Case et al. in view of Schnitzler.

See the rejection of paper number 17.

This is a continued prosection application. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3611

§ 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

DANIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dgd

December 13, 2000